

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/657,985		09/08/2000	Yuzhi Qu	458172000100	2666	
25226	7590	01/14/2003				
MORRISO	N & FOI	ERSTER LLP	EXAMINER			
755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018				HAMLIN, D	HAMLIN, DERRICK G	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1751	10	

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/657,985	QU, YUZHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Derrick G. Hamlin	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sheet with the corr spond nce addr ss Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/3	<u>0/2002</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	:						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	, , ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 1, 5, 6 and 10, under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of the applicants amendment and arguments filed 10/30/2002.

Double Patenting

The rejection of claims 1-10 under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 11, 12 and 29 of U. S. Patent No. 6,132.823, is maintained for the reasons set forth in the action mailed 9/11/2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by US 4857675 (Marancik et al.) or US Dowie (US 5450266) is withdrawn in view of the applicants amendment and arguments filed 10/30/2002. Due to an inadvertent error, the examiner rejected claim 5 and not independent claim 6.

The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by US 4857675 (Marancik et al.) or US Dowie (US 5450266) is maintained for the reasons set forth in the action mailed 9/11/2002.

Application/Control Number: 09/657,985

Art Unit: 1751

The applicant argues that the reference fails to teach all the limitations of claim 1.

Claim 1 (and 6) only requires a heat transfer surface with a thermal conductivity greater than silver.

Marancik clearly teaches that the "objects of the invention are to attain high heat transfer", which the examiner believes would read on the instantly claimed invention (col. 3, lines 26-28).

Dowie clearly teaches an "enhanced heat transfer surface" which the examiner believes would read on the instantly claimed invention (col. 2, liens 61).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

In view of the forgoing, the above claims have failed to be patently distinguishable over prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/657,985

Art Unit: 1751

Page 4

The remaining references listed on form(s) 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick G. Hamlin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternating Fridays from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Derrick G. Hamlin

1/13/03

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700